

To: Dermer, Michele[Dermer.Michele@epa.gov]
From: McWhirter, Lisa
Sent: Thur 8/6/2015 7:27:59 PM
Subject: RE: for you to add/subtract to

Did we mention the 3 chevron wells – status—did they look at 120 page analysis?

From: Dermer, Michele
Sent: Wednesday, August 05, 2015 3:50 PM
To: McWhirter, Lisa
Subject: RE: for you to add/subtract to

Yes, I would prefer to not to put Distruct 4 on the agenda and just ask all of them

You can add all yhour questions to #1.

From: McWhirter, Lisa
Sent: Wednesday, August 05, 2015 3:34 PM
To: Dermer, Michele
Subject: RE: for you to add/subtract to

Not sure if this is included below, but what about District 4? What's going on? I think David wanted to know what specifically was happening in D4- communication between Operators and D4? Is that your item #4 below?

From: Dermer, Michele
Sent: Wednesday, August 05, 2015 3:25 PM
To: McWhirter, Lisa
Subject: for you to add/subtract to

1. Review of 7/31 deliverable
 - What is the difference in the information known for “project” versus “not associated with a project”
2. Format for the updated, comprehensive Category 1 report, with results from information orders, map request
3. Arroyo Grande AE package status, others
4. Cat 2 AE packages schedule
5. New wells (outside of the 532)
6. Elk Hills, Alon, San Joaquin Refinery

Michele Dermer

Drinking Water Protection Section

EPA Region 9

(415) 972-3417

Dermer.michele@epa.gov